ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

ATTORNEY GENERAL

OF THE

STATE OF MICHIGAN,

FOR THE YEAR 1865.

12-18-42.

BY AUTHORITY.

LANSING:
JOHN A. KERR & CO., PRINTERS TO THE STATE.
1865.

REPORT.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, Dec. 31, 1865.

TO HIS EXCELLENCY, H. H. CRAPO, *Governor of Michigan:*

SIR—In obedience to the demands of statute, I respectfully submit my Annual Report for the year A. D. 1865.

At the last January term of the Supreme Court, I appeared and argued on the part of the People, the case of the People *ex. rel.* Daniel S. Twitchell against Amos C. Blodgett. In this case an information, in the nature of a *quo warranto*, had been filed, to determine whether the relator, or the respondent, was entitled to the office of Prosecuting Attorney in and for the county of Washtenaw, for the constitutional term, commencing on the first day of January, 1865. The respondent from that day to and including the time of filing the information, having been in possession of the office. The conflict of claims, designed to be settled by this case, grew out of the election held for that office, at the general election in 1864. It appeared that there were given for that office, without the limits of the State, and in pursuance of the provisions of Act No. 21, of the laws of 1864, of this State, 342 votes, of which the relator received 276, and the respondent 66. These votes, thus given by soldiers, were rejected by the Board of County Canvassers upon the ground, that the act named was unconstitutional; and the respondent having received a majority of 115 of the votes given within the limits of the county, for the office, was declared elected to the same. There was no question raised as to the facts of the case, they being settled by stipulation as indicated, but only as to the consitutionality of the act referred to. The Court, after an elaborate argument, held, by a majority opinion, that the Legislature had not power, under the Constitution,

to pass the act, and that it was unconstitutional and void; that all votes given in accordance with its provisions, were illegal, and not entitled to be canvassed; and, therefore, that the respondent was lawfully in possession of the office.

I also appeared and argued at the same term, on the part of William Hammond, Quartermaster General of the State, the matter of the application of William Loman, for the writ of mandamus to issue against that officer, requiring him to pay to the relator a State military bounty as provided by the provisions of Act No. 23, of the laws of 1864, of this State. It appeared that, Oct. 17, 1863, the President of the United States, issued a call for 300,000 volunteers for the military service of the Federal Government, and Feb. 1, 1864, another call for 500,000 volunteers for like service, crediting and deducting from the last named call, so many as may have been enlisted or drafted into the service prior to the 1st day of March, 1864, and not before Feb. 1st, 1864, credited. It also appeared, that the quotas of each military district in the State, were duly assigned under these calls respectively, and that before the township of Riley, in the county of St. Clair, had filled its quota under the first named call, Loman enlisted and was mustered into such military service, and credited on the quota of the township named, the date of his mustering being March 28th, 1864. This application for the writ of mandamus, was denied, the Court holding, in substance, that under the above named act, no volunteer could be entitled to a State military bounty till the quota assigned under the call of Oct. 17, 1863, had been filled, the act itself expressly limiting the payment of such bounties to volunteers "who shall be credited on the quota of this State, or any military district thereof, under any call or order of the President or military authorities of the United States, or of this State, made or issued since the first day of January, A. D. eighteen hundred and sixty-four."

On the 22nd day of February, 1865, the Honorable, the Senate of this State, adopted a Preamble and Resolution in language as follows, to wit:

"*Whereas,* Certain amendments are proposed to be made to the Constitution of this State, and to submit said amendments to a vote of the people at the Spring election, to be held in April next;

And whereas, Said submission is of doubtful constitutionality; therefore,

Resolved, That the Attorney General be, and is hereby requested to transmit to the Senate his opinion as to the constitutionality of submitting said proposed amendments at the election to be held in April next."

On the 24th day of the same month, having carefully considered the question thus submitted to me, I communicated my opinion in full to that Honorable body; and to the effect, that the election to be held in the month of April, not being a "general election," within the spirit and meaning of Sec. 1, Art. 20, of our State Constitution, the amendments proposed thereto, cannot then be submitted to the electors of the State, for their ratification, and advised accordingly. For that opinion, I respectfully refer the attention of your Excellency to Senate document No. 32, of 1865.

At the April term of the Supreme Court, I appeared and argued on the part of the People, the case of the People, *ex. rel.* the Attorney General against Zephaniah B. Knight. This was a case where an information, in the nature of a *quo warranto,* had been filed against the respondent, who was in possession of, and claiming the right to hold, by virtue of an election had Nov. 8th, 1864, the office of Judge of Probate, in and for the county of Oakland, and to adjudicate his title to the same. It appeared that the poll for the township of Pontiac, in that county, was opened and held, and the votes cast and canvassed within the corporate limits of the city of Pontiac. The respondent claimed, that the votes thus given, were illegally cast and not entitled to be canvassed, and which votes, if rejected, a majority of them being for the adverse candidate, would secure the election of the respondent, he having received a majority of all other votes given for that office within the county.

There being no statute authorizing the poll of the township named to be opened and held, and the ballots of the electors thereof to be cast and canvassed as stated, the Court decided that the ballots of the electors of the above named township were illegally given, and not entitled to be canvassed, and that the respondent was duly elected to, and rightfully in possession of, the office in question.

At the same term of the Court, I also appeared and argued on the part of the People, the case of Robert E. Elliott, plaintiff in error, against the People, defendants in error. It appeared that the plaintiff in error had been tried and convicted in the Recorder's Court for the city of Detroit, upon an information which charged him with the crime of embezzlement, and sentenced to two years imprisonment at hard labor, in the House of Correction in that city. The error assigned was, that the Recorder's Court had not power, under the provisions of Act No. 164, of the Laws of 1861, of this State, to sentence persons convicted of crime, to imprisonment in that institution for a greater period than one year. The Supreme Court sustained this objection or assignment of error, regarding, as they did, the sentence as being thereby vitiated, and, therefore, reversed the judgment; and this without ordering a new trial, the Court below having lost jurisdiction of the plaintiff in error, by reason of such excessive and illegal sentence.

Early in the year, a suit was commenced in the Circuit Court for the county of Calhoun, in Chancery, wherein Sarah J. Cashman was complainant, and Edward J. Underwood, administrator of the estate of John Cashman, deceased, and myself, as Attorney General, were defendants. It appeared from the bill of complaint, that John Cashman, in his lifetime, and a few years before his death, obtained, in a suit in that Court, a decree of divorce from the bonds of matrimony, against the said Sarah J. Cashman, she at the time being his wife, and that he left a small estate. The object of the first mentioned suit was to obtain a decree reviving the divorce suit, so to call it, and to have the same reheard, and the decree rendered

therein, set aside, and declared to be void and of no effect, and Mrs. Cashman, the complainant, adjudged to be entitled to all the estate of the deceased, he having left no heirs by consanguinity. I was made a party defendant as stated, with a view to have represented and adjudicated the interest of the State in the estate named. Believing that I had improperly been made a party defendant, not being a State officer who is vested with any power or authority to represent the interest of the State, as a party to any suit or proceeding where persons dying without heirs, leave an estate, I accordingly demurred to the bill of complaint on that ground; and on the 24th day of May last, appeared and argued the demurrer in the Court named. The demurrer was sustained, and leave granted to the Complainant to amend her bill, by striking out the name of the Attorney General, and inserting instead, those of the Auditor General, State Treasurer and Secretary of State, as such officers, since which I have no reason to believe that any further proceeding has been had in this suit.

At the July term of the Supreme Court, I appeared and argued on the part of the People, the case of the People against David C. Wattles and Isaiah Butler. From the bill of exceptions it appeared that the respondents, at the March term of the Circuit Court for the county of Lapeer, in 1861, had been tried and convicted, on an information charging them, as inspectors of the election held in the township of North Branch, in that county, in November, 1860, with the offense of misdemeanor, in having refused to administer to a person claiming to be an elector of that township, and entitled to vote at the poll therein, a certain oath, pointed out, and claimed by him to contain the grounds of his qualifications to vote, he being challenged as not a qualified elector of that township, and the challenge not being withdrawn. The information not containing an allegation that the person referred to had been duly registered as an elector in the township named, according to the provisions of Act No. 177, of the Laws of 1859, of this State, was held to be for that reason so defec-

tive in one of its indispensable requisites, that a conviction could not legally be had upon it, Sec. 15 of the above mentioned Act, providing, among other things, "that the vote of no person shall be received whose name is not so registered," and this though this point was neither discussed nor raised by counsel on the argument.

At the same term I also appeared and argued the case of the people against Valentine Cornwell. This case came up from the Circuit Court for the county of Kalamazoo, the respondent having been convicted at the January term of that Court, 1864, of felony. The conviction, by the judgment of the Supreme Court, was set aside, and a new trial ordered. Not having seen the opinion of the Court in this case, I state it thus briefly.

At the October term of the Supreme Court, I appeared and argued on the part of the People, the case of the People against Asa Annis and Jesse Annis. This case came up from the Circuit Court for the county of Calhoun. From the bill of exceptions it appeared that, at the May term of that Court, 1865, the respondents had been tried and convicted of the offense of larceny, on an information charging them with having feloniously stolen "one mare of the value of one hundred and fifty dollars," properly alleging time, place and ownership; and, also, among other things assigned as error, that while Jesse Annis, one of the respondents, was upon the stand, for the purpose of making his statement, in pursuance of the provisions of Sec. 4340, Comp. Laws of 1857, as amended by Act No. 125, of the laws of 1861, of this State, his councel were not allowed, in writing, to "call his attention to the subject of his knowledge of the stolen mare, so that he might make a statement to it or not," objection being made thereto by the Prosecuting Attorney. The ruling of the Court below upon this point, was held to be erroneous, the conviction reversed and a new trial ordered.

At the same time I likewise argued the case of Aaron Lang, Moses Lang and John S. Crellin, plaintiffs in error, against the People, defendants in error. In this case a judgment had

been rendred in the Circuit Court for the county of St. Clair, in favor of the people, and against the plaintiffs in error, and a recognizance executed in the penal sum of three thousand dollars, by the conditions of which recognizance, said Aaron Lang was bound "to appear and answer to an information to be filed against him for uttering counterfeit money as true," said Moses Lang and John S. Crellin being his sureties. Said Aaron Lang having failed to perform the condition of the recognizance, which failure having been entered on record by order of the Court, and more than two days having elapsed after the entry of such record, and no good cause to the contrary appearing to the Court: thereupon judgment was rendered in favor of the people, and against the said plaintiffs in error, in the sum of three hundred dollars. No notice was personally served of the intention of the people to take such default, or requiring the defendants below to show cause why such judgment should not be rendered; which absence of notice was claimed by the plaintiffs in error to constitute error, in that Act No. 106, of the Laws of 1861, of this State, in the pursuance of the provisions of which, this judgment was claimed to have been rendered, contemplates the service of such notice, or that, if it does not, the act is unconstitutional and void. The judgment of the Supreme Court, has not yet been rendered upon the points raised.

At the same term, I appeared and argued on the part of the respondent, the matter of the application of the People, *ex. rel.* George Hanselman, for the writ of mandamus to issue against O. N. Gidding, Quartermaster General of this State, requiring him to pay to the relator a certain military State bounty. From the papers filed, it appeared that the Governor was authorized by an order of the National War Department at Washington, dated Nov. 4th, 1862, "to raise an independent company of volunteer infantry for service at the depot now, (then) at Detroit Barracks;" that in pursuance of this order, such company having been raised and organized, was mustered into the military service of the United States, January

3rd, 1863, and known as the Provost Gaurd, and was not designed for actual service in the field, or outside of the limits of the State, and never constituted a part of any regiment or battery; that the relator enlisted and was mustered into said company in such service, March 17th, 1863, and was honorably discharged, May 9th, 1865; that His Excellency, Austin Blair, Governor of this State, by his order, dated March 13th, 1863, in pursuance of the provisions of Act No. 51, of the Laws of 1863, of this State, directed the payment, by the Quartermaster General, of fifty dollars, State bounty, "to every soldier enlisting for the regiments and batteries from this State now (then) in the field, and also to those enlisting for the regiments and batteries now (then) organizing in the State." Upon such mustering and order, the relator claimed the State bounty of fifty dollars, contemplated by said Act. The application for the writ was denied by the Court upon the ground, that His Excellency, the Governor of the State, in the exercise of the discretion and power vested in him by the provisions of said Act, in making the order for the payment of bounties, did not, by its terms or spirit, include soldiers who had enlisted and been mustered into the military service of the United States, in said company.

At the same term, I also appeared and argued on the part of the respondent, a motion for a reargument of the said matter of the application of the People *ex. rel.* William Loman, for the writ of mandamus to issue against Wm. Hammond, Quartermaster General of this State, it being claimed as the foundation of this motion, that the Court in the opinion rendered therein, had erred in their consideration or disposition of the facts in the case, which motion was denied.

In my Annual Report for the year 1864, on pages 6 and 7, mention is made of the case of Thomas Ryan, complainant, against George W. Brown, Wall W. Williams and Ezra T. Williams, defendants, pending in the Circuit Court for the county of Chippewa, in Chancery; and to which I respectfully invite your Excellency's attention. At the last August term of

that Court, I appeared and argued, on the part of the defendants, a motion to dissolve the writ of injunction issued in this case, which motion was denied. A motion made by the complainant for an order to extend the time for taking proofs therein, was also argued by me, on the part of the defendants, which motion was granted, and such order entered, and which, by stipulation, has been further extended to the next June term of the Court. On the 17th day of November last, a part of the complainant's proofs were taken, and those of the defendants, and the balance of the complainant will probably be taken within the time fixed by the above mentioned stipulation, so that the case shall be argued and submitted upon pleadings and proofs, at the next August term of the Court.

In the Annual report of Hon. Charles Upson, Attorney General, for the year 1862, on page 7, mention is made of the case of the Michigan Southern and Northern Indiana Railroad Company, complainants, against the Auditor General, defendant, the bill of complaint having been filed in the month of August of that year, the object of the suit in said Report being fully stated, and to which I respectfully invite your Excellency's attention. As stated in my Annual Report for the year A. D. 1864, on page 9, and to which I also respectfully invite your Excellancy's attention, this case on the 8th day of Feb., 1864, was argued and submitted to the Court upon pleadings and proofs; and Feb. 28, 1865, a decree was made, and filed therein March 20th, 1865, which on account of its interest and importance, I give herein at length, no appeal having been taken therefrom. After the entitling of the case, it is in language as ollows, to wit:

"This cause being brought on to be heard upon bill, answer and proofs, and the pleadings and proofs having been read, and Warner Wing, solicitor, and H. H. Emmons, of counsel for the complainant, and Albert Williams, Esquire, Attorney General, and Thomas Cooley, of counsel for the defendant, having been heard, and the Court having duly considered the said

pleadings and proofs, and arguments; and it appearing to the Court, that the said defendant, the Auditor General of the State of Michigan, claims that there is due from the said complainant as specific State tax under and by virtue of its charter, the sum of thirteen thousand and sixty-three dollars and eighty cents, being three thousand two hundred and sixty-five dollars and ninety-five cents, for each of the years eighteen hundred and fifty-eight, eighteen hundred and fifty-nine, eighteen hundred and sixty, and eighteen hundred and sixty-one, respectively, upon the sum of two hundred and fifty thousand dollars, it being the amount of certain bonds made and issued by said complainant, and therein designated as the Jackson Branch Bonds, and upon the further sum of one hundred and eighty-five thousand four hundred and fifty dollars and eighty-four cents, being the amount of loss or discount upon certain other bonds sold by said complainant company, neither of said sums constituting any portion of the capital paid in to said company or any portion of its loans for the purpose of constructing its road, or for building or chartering steamboats, and that said Auditor General claims also that said company is further liable and indebted to said State in the sum of five thousand nine hundred and fifty-four dollars and ten cents for interest for the forbearance and giving day of payment upon certain sums due or claimed to be due, by the said Auditor General of said State, against the said complainant:

It is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said Michigan Southern and Northern Indiana Railroad Company is not liable to taxation under and by virtue of its charter or otherwise, for or upon said sum of two hundred and fifty thousand dollars, being the amount of said bonds called in the bill of complaint Jackson Branch bonds, such sum constituting no part of the capital paid in to said company, or of its bonds for the purpose of constructing its road or for the building or chartering of steamboats.

And it is further ordered, adjudged and decreed, that said Michigan Southern and Northern Indiana Railroad Company

is not subject to taxation, under its charter or otherwise, for said further sum of one hundred and eighty-five thousand four hundred and fifty dollars and eighty-four cents, it appearing to the Court that said company issued its bonds for this amount greater and in excess of what it received for the same, and that said sum was never received by said company for the purpose of constructing its road or for the building or chartering of steamboats or otherwise. That the tax upon said sums of two hundred and fifty thousand dollars and one hundred and eighty-five thousand four hundred and fifty dollars and eighty-four cents, for each of said years eighteen hundred and fifty-eight, eighteen hundred and fifty-nine, eighteen hundred and sixty, and eighteen hundred and sixty-one, being respectively the sum of three thousand two hundred and sixty-five dollars and niety-five cents; amounting in the aggregate to the sum of thirteen thousand and sixty-three dollars and eighty cents, and that said sums upon which said taxes were assessed, amounting together to the sum of four hundred and thirty-five thousand four hundred and fifty dollars and eighty-four cents, have been erroneously included by said Auditor General in the aggregate sum upon which he has assessed the specific tax against said company for said years.

And it is further ordered and decreed, that the said Michigan Southern and Northern Indiana Railroad company is not liable to the State of Michigan for the said sum of five thousand nine hundred and fifty-four dollars and ten cents, or any other sum, for interest on account of forbearance on the part of said Auditor General to collect any tax against said complainant, or on account of any neglect or delay on the part of said company to pay the same or any part thereof, said corporation being liable to pay said State the principal sum of any tax lawfully assessed by said Auditor General against it, without any addition or charge against it for interest.

And the said Auditor General, his attorneys, solicitors, and agents is hereby forever restrained and enjoined from issuing any warrant or process, and from taking any proceeding

whatsoever to collect, and from making any demand therefor upon the said complainant company, its officers or agents, for the said sum of thirteen thousand and sixty-three dollars and eighty cents, or any part thereof, or said sum of five thousand nine hundred and fifty-four dollars and ten cents, for interest, or any part thereof.

And said Auditor General is hereby ordered and decreed to enter in the proper books in his office, suitable credits and entries to show that said complainant company is not liable for said sum or any part thereof, within twenty days after notice of this decree, and said complainant company is forever discharged from said claims and every part thereof, and all liens and claims upon its property and franchises by reason of said erroneous taxation, and the same is hereby declared to be null and void."

In my Annual Report for the year A. D. 1863, on page 11, mention is made of the case of Edmund H. Hazelton, *et. al.*, for the use and benefit of the State, against the Flint and Pere Marquette Railway Company, judgment having been rendered therein in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant, September 22nd, 1862, for the sum of $19,304 07 damages; and to which your Excellency's attention is respectfully called. On the 20th day of September last, the sum of $5,400 59 was paid by the defendant to the State Treasurer, upon this judgment; and it is gratifying to be able to assure your Excellency, that I have sufficient reason to believe that the balance of this judgment, with interest thereon, will not only be paid to the State, but that such payment will be made at no very distant day.

The case of the People of the State of Michigan against the Phœnix Bank, of the city of New York, is ended. A succinct history of the case at this time, may not be amiss. It was commenced by, and has always been in the charge of Hon. J. M. Howard, formerly Attorney General of this State. Of him it is but just to say, that it has been prosecuted on the part of the people with marked assiduity, fidelity and ability, without

which, peculation, in this instance, would have retained its ill-gotten gain, and laughed at foiled justice. For a detailed statement of the claim of the State, which constituted the cause of action in this case, allow me to respectfully refer the attention of your Excellency to the Annual Reports of the Attorney General named, for the years A. D. 1856, 1857, 1858 and 1859,—sufficing it here to remark, that on the 2nd day of December, 1854, the claim of the Phœnix Bank against the State, for the sum $16,400, with interest thereon from March 13th, 1838, was audited and paid in the sum of $35,603 74, being for two drafts drawn by the Phœnix Bank, and pretended to have been advanced or delivered on the day last named, to John Norton, Junior, Cashier of the Michigan State Bank, as fiscal agent for the State, by order of Governor Mason, on certain State bonds; one of these drafts being on the Farmers' and Mechanics' Bank, of the city of Detroit, for $8,500, and the other on the Bank of the River Raisin, of the city of Monroe, for $7,900, and which claim was believed by Attorney General Howard to be without consideration and fraudulent; the money realized from the drafts not having, in anywise, inured to the benefit of the State. Hence, the institution of this suit, which was commenced September 3rd, 1855, in the Superior Court for the city of New York, and first tried in April and May, 1857, the trial occupying seventeen days, and resulting in a judgment for the plaintiffs, of $42,152 97, including the costs of suit. The defendant appealed to the General Term of that Court, where the judgment was reversed on the ground that the decision of the Board of State Auditors was a judicial act, and could only be impeached for fraud in fact, which had not been expressly found as a fact by the Court before whom the trial was had. The case, therefore, was sent back for a new trial, and was again tried in October, 1859, and a judgment rendered on the whole claim of the State, as before in that regard, in favor of the plaintiffs, November 28th, 1859, for $48,815 12, including costs of suit. From this judgment the defendant again appealed to the gen-

eral term of the Superior Court. On the argument, the defendant took the ground, which was sustained by the Court, and a new trial ordered, that the false representations, by means of which the money was obtained from the Treasury of the State, were a fraud on the Board of State Auditors, and that there was no authority for the doctrine contended for by the plaintiffs, that a judgment would be avoided in equity for a fraud practiced on the Court. From this decision or judgment, granting a new trial, an appeal was taken to the Court of Appeals of that State, which was argued at the March term, 1865, and June 30th following, the Court of Appeals decided that the sum of $24, 883 79 should be deducted from the judgment of November 28, 1859, and that as to the balance, that judgment should be affirmed. This was followed by an *ex parte* order made by one of the Judges of that Court, staying all further proceedings until a motion should be made, at the next term, for a reargument. On the 26th day of July last, a motion for a revocation of this order was argued and granted, a *remittiter* obtained from the Court of Appeals, and filed in the Superior Court, the sum of $24,883 79 remitted, and the decision of the Court of Appeals entered as the judgment of the Superior Court. The Court of Appeals held, that the acts of the Bank in procuring the auditing and payment of the above mentioned claim of the Bank, constituted fraud, both in fact and in law. The ground on which the Court of Appeals ordered $24,883 79 to be deducted is, that that sum was the amount of the principal and interest of the said draft on the Farmers' and Mechanics' Bank of Detroit; that lands in Saginaw county, Mich., had been conveyed by Charles H. Stewart to the defendant, on account of this draft, which lands had been received in trust for the State; that these lands, from all that appeared in the case, were still so held by the defendant; that the defendant insisted in this action that they held these lands as stated, for the State; that they were estoped from denying this trust, and that the lands consequently were in fact the property of the

plaintiffs, and that the defendant was bound to convey them on demand to the State. According to this view, a demand on the defendant, by the State, to convey the lands by a good and sufficient title to the State, would render the defendant liable to make such conveyance, or pay the value of said lands. The condition of the conveyance of these lands to Mr. Sewart, made Oct. 2, 1840, being in language as follows, to wit: "in trust for the Phœnix Bank of the city of New York, or for the Auditor General of the State of Michigan, whichever shall assume the debt hereby settled by the party of the first part," (meaning "the debt," not of the State, but of the Michigan State Bank of Detroit,) would necessarily preclude the idea, that the Phœnix Bank could hold both the lands and the money paid out of the State Treasury in satisfaction of the draft for $8,500, with interest thereon, and especially as such payment had been induced through the fraudulent acts of the Phœnix Bank. Steps were at once taken to demand of the Bank, a conveyance of these lands to the State, so that no right should be lost. The amount recovered of the Bank, in virtue of the judgment finally rendered, growing out of the payment, by the State, of the draft on the Bank of the River Raisin, is $33,632 61. This sum, however, includes $1,314 40, which is the amount of taxed costs and disbursements, and interest on the same, leaving $32,318 20 as the amount of actual money recovered on account of procuring the allowance, as stated, of the fraudulent claim of the Phœnix Bank. Thus has terminated a suit of great interest and importance, which has been conducted on each side with signal ability and resolution; and it is a matter of gratulation that the rights of the State, to the extent mentioned, have been fully vindicated, and that but little doubt seems to remain that those touching the lands, or the draft for $8,500, will yet be wholly so.

The case commenced in the Circuit Court for the county of Tuscola, in Chancery, by Martin Watrous and David G. Slafter, complainants, against Emil Anneke, Auditor General, and

Alson Greenfield, County Treasurer, defendants, mentioned in my last Annual Report, is still pending. During the year, the demurrer of the defendants, in view of the decision of the Supreme Court made in the case of Palmer against Rich, 12 Mich. R., 414, has been withdrawn, and their joint answer made and filed in the case, to which the complainants duly filed a general replication, since which no further proceeding has been had.

The four chancery suits, being one in the county of Wayne, two in the county of Bay, and one in the county of Genesee, mentioned in my Annual Report for last year, are also still pending. The one last mentioned, being that of the State against Dewey, Hazelton, *et. al.*, is the only one of them, as I have good reason to believe, of any considerable importance to the State. But the fact that the terms of the Circuit Court of the county of Genesee have been held throughout the year at the same times that the Supreme Court was in session, and the great number and importance of official duties which have constantly pressed upon my time and attention during the period last named, have placed it beyond my power to bring it to a final hearing, as I had hoped. In view of these considerations, it is difficult for me to conceive what I might have done that has not been attended to. Still, I hope to be able to bring all these suits to a final hearing before the ensuing year shall have passed.

During the year, six statements, each embracing a proposed charter for an insurance company, to be organized in pursuance of the provisions of Act No. 262, of the Laws of 1859, of this State, have been examined and certified by me, to be in accordance with the requirements of that Act. The close observation of another year has served to strengthen, if possible, the convictions expressed in my last Annual Report concerning them. Hence, I repeat in substance, that honest, vigilant and able management will alone effectuate the main purposes contemplated by the Act, and for which they are, or should be,

organized and operated. My duties in relation to them are ended when I have examined and found their statements and proposed charters to be in accordance with the requirements of the Act named, and have so certified. This, however, may all be, and still the charters be highly objectionable; and this, partly on account of the defects of the Act, as also a manifest disposition to take advantage of those defects. And it is a source of regret, that several of the charters of these companies, undoubtedly for these reasons, lack more or less of those checks which honest dealing would willingly have imposed upon it. Every person solicited to become a member of an insurance company, by having his property insured, cannot before doing so, too closely examine its charter and business management, to see that the former contains every needful safeguard, and that the latter bears upon its front those features which give unmistakable assurance of energy and probity in its transactions; and without which the company should receive neither encouragement nor support.

The official correspondence of the office during the year, has been such as to require much time and thorough investigation. Several of the questions thus presented and replied to, involved important interests of the State, while others more or less effected those of counties, townships or the public. As yet, I have seen no reason to believe, that, in any instance, different advice from that tendered, should have been given.

Though blanks were furnished to all the Prosecuting Attorneys in the State for that purpose, I have failed to receive the Annual Reports of several of them, they not having made them as required by Sec. 396, of the Compiled Laws of this State. This is a source of regret, for it unfortunately fails to place within the reach of the Legislature, and other public authorities, the statement contemplated by the above mentioned section, which, for all practical purposes, would show with sufficient accuracy the number and description of offences committed within the State in each year, thus furnishing valu-

able information in amending, or allowing to remain unchanged, our criminal code. A general compliance hereafter, is certainly greatly to be desired. I herewith transmit abstracts of such Reports as I have received.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

ALBERT WILLIAMS,

Attorney General.

ABSTRACTS OF REPORTS

OF

PROSECUTING ATTORNEYS,

BY COUNTIES,

FOR THE YEAR 1865.

ABSTRACTS OF REPORTS OF PROSECUTING ATTORNEYS,

BY COUNTIES, FOR THE YEAR 1865.

ALLEGAN COUNTY.

JOHN W. STONE, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	25	18 convicted; 6 fined $5 each; one fined $30; one $20; 1 $4; 2 fined $15; 3 fined $8; 4 fined $10; 1 jury disagreed and nolle prosequi entered; one acquitted; 5 settled and complainant acknowledged satisfaction.
Bigamy,	1	Information filed—pending.
Burglary,	1	Defendant recognized—escaped; recognizance estreated and judgment of $100; collected.
Obtaining goods under false pretences,	1	Discharged on examination.
Passing counterfeit money,	1	Defendant recognized and escaped; recognizance estreated and judgment entered on same.
Petit larceny,	5	4 convicted; 1 sent to Reform School; 1 fined $5; 1 $10; 1 $12; one acquitted.
Arson—dwelling house,	1	Convicted and sentenced to State Prison 10 years.
Selling intoxicating liquors,	2	1 convicted and fined $10 and costs; 1 jury disagreed and nolle prosequi entered.
Bastardy,	2	1 convicted—ordered to pay $10 per month; one recognizance forfeited and judgment of $200 on bail.
Assault with intent to commit rape,	1	Discharged on examination.
Breach of peace,	8	All bound over to keep the peace.
Embezzlement,	1	Acquitted.
Manslaughter,	1	Discharged on examination.
Rape,	1	Nolle prosequi entered.
Lewd and lascivious cohabitation,	1	Trial—jury disagreed—still pending.
Seduction,	1	Pending.
Malicious injury to property,	1	Pending
Disturbing religious worship,	1	Acquitted.
Perjury,	1	Recognizance forfeited; judgment of $200; part collected.
Incest,	1	Defendant escaped from officer.
Grand larceny,	1	Discharged on examination.

ALPENA COUNTY.

OBED SMITH, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Murder,	1	Escaped.
Murder second degree,	1	Arrested, examined, bound over, broke jail.
Assault and battery,	5	1 fined $1 and costs; 1 $1 and costs; 1 settled; 1 escaped; 1 $15 and costs.
Grand larceny,	3	1 still pending; 1 broke jail; 1 discharged.
Petit larceny,	2	1 fined $10 and costs; 1 nolle prosequi.
Threatening,	1	Recognizance given to keep the peace.
Search warrants,	3	
Violation of liquor law,	9	4 fined $10 each; 2 $20 each; $3 discharged.

BARRY COUNTY.

C. G. HOLBROOK, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	12	1 fined $40 and costs; 2 fined $50 and costs; 1 fined $3 and costs; 1 fined $5 and costs; 1 fined $3 and costs; 1 fined $5 and costs; 1 fined $1 and costs, and one fined $10 and costs and 20 days in county jail—appealed and still pending; 2 fined the costs, and one acquitted.
Petit larceny,	4	1 fined $5 and costs; 3 acquitted on trial.
Larceny,	2	1 discharged on examination; 1 nol. pros. in Circuit Court.
Perjury,	2	1 examined and discharged; 1 nol. pros.
Burglary and larceny,	1	Examined and discharged.
Bastardy,	1	Security given.
Seduction,	2	Nol. pros. in Circuit Court.
Search warrants,	4	
Recognized to keep the peace,	4	
Lascivious cohabitation,	2	Arrested and recognized to appear for examination, and ran away.
Billiard playing for hire,	2	2 fined $10 and costs, and recognized not to violate statute for one year
Actions on forfeits and recognizances,	2	Still pending.
False pretences,	1	Still pending

BAY COUNTY.

LUTHER BECKWITH, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	26	12 discharged; 4 fined $5 and costs; 1 appealed and noi. pros.; 1 fined $15 and costs; 5 $2 and costs; 1 6 cents and costs; 2 $10 and costs, or 20 days in jail.
Assault with intent to commit the crime of rape,	2	1 discharged; 1 sent to prison five years.
Murder,	1	Sent up for life.
Stealing from shop,	1	Sent for 1 year.
Larceny,	10	7 discharged; 3 sent up—1 6 months, 1 2 years, 1 committed.
Stealing at fire,	5	Discharged.
Malicious trespass,	2	1 discharged; 1 fined costs.
Fraudulent concealment of property,	1	Fined $15 or 20 days in jail.
Attempt to defraud,	1	Settled.

BERRIEN COUNTY.

GEO. S. CLAPP, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Larceny,	6	One sentenced to imprisonment in State Prison five years; one to similar imprisonment three years; one sentenced to pay a fine of $50, and in default of payment to be imprisoned in the county jail 30 days; one sentenced to be imprisoned in the jail 3 months, and to pay a fine of $25, and in default of its payment to be further imprisoned 30 days; 2 were acquitted.
Burglary,	3	Sentenced respectively to imprisonment in State Prison: 2 years, 2 years and three months, and two years and six months.
Assault and battery,	6	2 acquitted; 4 fined $5 each.

BRANCH COUNTY.

Geo. A. Coe, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	23	Four tried and acquitted; one discharged; 3 nol. pros.; 5 fined $5 each; 4 fined $10 each; 2 fined $3 each; 2 fined $1 each; 1 fined $7; 1 fined $25.
Petit larceny,	11	1 jail 40 days, and fined $30; 1 sent to House of Correction, Detroit; 2 fined $5 each; 3 fined $8 94 each; 2 tried and acquitted; 1 tried twice, jury disagreed and discontinued; 1 fined $40.
Petit larceny, 3 convictions at same term,	4	1 State Prison 3 years; 1 State Prison 7 years; 1 State Prison 1 year; 1 State Prison 5 years and 9 months, and jail 3 months.
Grand larceny,	6	Two fined $300 each and 15 days in jail; 1 State Prison 5 years; 1 State Prison 2 years; 1 sentence suspended; 1 State Prison 3 years.
Burglary and larceny,	6	2 State Prison 3 years each; 1 Reform School; 1 recognizance estreated; 1 noi. pros.; 1 broke jail.
Passing counterfeit bank bills,	4	1 recognizance estreated, bail paid, $300; 1 discharged on his own recognizance; 1 tried and acquitted; 1 noi. pros.
False pretences,	9	1 discharged; 2 State Prison 1 year each; 6 still pending.
Larceny from person,	3	2 tried and acquitted; 1 recognizance estreated.
Seduction,	2	1 tried and acquitted; 1 State Prison 4 years.
Disturbing religious meeting,	3	Fined $10 each.
Embezzlement,	3	2 tried and acquitted; 1 discharged.
Rape,	2	1 convicted of aduitery; 1 broke jail.
Perjury,	1	Discharged.
Wiliful trespass,	1	Fined $100.
Threatening,	1	Held to bail, &c.
Harboring prostitutes,	1	Held to bail, &c.
Defacing school house,	2	Fined $5 each and costs.
Assault with intent to kill,	2	1 tried and acquitted; 1 still pending.
Resisting an officer,	1	Still pending.
Drunk and disorderly,	1	Fined $5.
Receiving money under written instructions, &c.,	1	Still pending.
Gross frauds and cheats, &c.,	3	Still pending.
Conspiracy to cheat,	4	Still pending.

CALHOUN COUNTY.

JOHN C. FITZGERALD, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Larceny,	40	1 Reform School; 1 3 years in State Prison; 2 escaped before trial, from county jail; 1 convicted, sentence suspended; 1 State Prison 1 year; 2 convicted, case taken to Supreme Court; 3 5 years each to State Prison; 1 2 years in State Prison; 1 case pending; 5 2 years each in State Prison; 1 1 year and six months in State Prison; 1 1 year in State Prison; 1 pending; 4 two years each in State Prison; 2 State Prison one year and eight months each; 1 fined $25, recognizance for good behavior one year; 2 1 year each State Prison; 1 8 months in State Prison; 1 one year and 6 months in State Prison; 1 House of Correction 3 months, fined $100; 1 fined costs and 3 days in jail; 9 discharged on examination; 1 fined $40 and costs.
Forgery,	4	1 5 years in Stato Prison; 1 1 day in county jail; 1 3 years in State Prison; 1 discharged on examination.
Bastardy,	1	Yet pending.
Larceny from shop in day time,	1	1 day in county jail, and $25 fine.
Assault with intent to murder,	5	1 4 years in State Prison; 1 convicted of assault and battery, 1 day in county jail, recognizance for good behavior 2 years; 3 discharged on examination.
Larceny from dwelling house in day time,	4	1 five years in Stato Prison; 1 Reform School; 1 sixty days in county jail; 1 convicted, sentence suspended.
Vagrancy,	6	4 House of Correction 90 days; 2 House of Correction 6 months.
Disorderly,	44	35 recognized for good behavior; 9 discharged.
Keeping house of ill fame,	2	House of Correction 8 months each.
Burglary,	3	1 3 years in State Prison; 2 discharged on examination.
Willful injury to dwelling house,	3	Case still pending.
False pretences,	4	1 fined $200, and recognizance for good behavior 2 years; 1 escaped before trial; 1 still pending; 1 filed reasons for not filing information.
Assault and battery.	43	2 fined costs, $35 25 each; 10 discharged; 15 fined costs; 1 acquitted; 1 fined $50 and recognizance for good behavior; 1 fined $70 and 30 days in jail; 1 fined $25 costs; 1 fined $100; 8 3 months in House of Correction; 1 fined $25 costs, and 60 days in jail; 1 30 days in county jail; 1 fined $1; 1 fined $20 or 10 days in county jail; 8, each 61 days in House of Correction and $25 fine; 2 fined $10 each; 2, each 30 days in county jail.
Uttering counterfeit money,	3	1 information filed, recognizance forfeited and collected; 2 discharged on examination.
Maiming animals,	1	Discharged on examination.
Larceny in store in day time,	2	1 2 years in State Prison; 1 sentence suspended.

CASS COUNTY.

ANDREW J. SMITH, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault with intent to murder,	1	Still pending.
Perjury,	1	Recognized and forfeited recognizance.
Passing counterfeit money,	1	Recognized and forfeited his recognizance.
Larceny,	18	1 fined $50, and sent to jail 3 months; 1 fined $15 and costs; 1 fined $5 and costs; 4 acquitted; 4 jury did not agree; 5 dismissed; 1 sent to jail 2 months; 1 convicted but not sentenced, being discharged on recognizance for good behavior.
Getting property by false pretences,	1	Acquitted.
Assault and battery,	6	1 fined $50; 1 fined $10 and costs; 1 fined $6 and costs; 1 fined $3 and costs; 1 fined $1 and costs; 1 acquitted.
Sureties of the peace,	8	1 required to give recognizance for 6 months, for $300; 1 6 months, $50; 1 9 months, $100; 1 9 months, $300; 1 3 months, $50; 2 acquitted; 1 discontinued.
Selling intoxicating liquors,	10	Fined $10 each, and costs.

DELTA COUNTY.

E. P. ROYCE, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault with intent to kill,	1	Convicted of assault, not with intent—sentenced 1 year in county jail.
Assault and battery,	1	Convicted and fined $30.
Rape,	1	Acquitted.
Cruelty to animals,	1	Convicted and fined $1.

EATON COUNTY.

J. W. NICHOLS, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Grand larceny,	7	1 bound over—went into U. S. service; 2 broke jail; one on bail of $300, ran away, and judgment taken on bond; 1 acquitted on examination; 2 sent to House of Correction.
Disorderly persons,	2	Put under bonds 1 year each.
False pretences,	2	1 acquitted on examination; 1 bound over to Circuit Court, no information filed, discharged
Malicious injury to personal property,	1	Fined $5, and sent to jail 5 days.
Embezzlement,	1	Acquitted for want of jurisdiction.
Assault with intent to commit a rape,	1	Acquitted on examination.
For disturbing religious meetings,	7	3 convicted and fined $20; 4 acquitted on trial.
Simple larceny,	5	2 fined $13 and 25 days in jail; 1 fined $10; 1 fined $10—appealed—now pending; 1 acquitted.
Sale of liquor,	9	2 acquitted on trial; 7 fined $10 each and costs.
Assault and battery,	20	1 fined $20 and costs; 1 fined $10; 1 acquitted; 1 fined $20; 1 fined $5; 2 acquitted; 3 fined $15; 1 fined $8; 2 settled; 1 fined $20; 2 fined 10; 2 fined $20; 2 fined $30.

GENESEE COUNTY.

S. HOWARD, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Grand larceny,	8	4 convicted; 2 sentenced 3 years; 1 1 year and 6 months; 1 3 years and six months; 4 cases still pending.
Assault with intent to commit rape,	1	Convicted—7 years.
Incest,	1	Case pending.
Passing counterfeit money	1	Convicted—2 year

GRAND TRAVERSE COUNTY.

C. H. MARSH, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	2	1 plead guilty—fined $5; 1 plead guilty—fined $10.

GRATIOT COUNTY.

W. E. WINTON, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Murder by poison,	1	Discharged on verdict of "not guilty," rendered by jury.
Burglary,	1	Convicted and sentenced to State Prison for 2 years.
Malicious injury to mill dam,	1	Acquitted on verdict of "not guilty," by jury.
Perjury,	2	Nol. pros. entered by order of the Court; acquitted on verdict of "not guilty," by jury.
Larceny,	1	Convicted and fined 50 and costs.
Assault and battery,	4	1 fined $10 and costs; 1 fined $5, and escaped without paying fine; 1 discontinued; 1 acquitted.

HILLSDALE COUNTY.

E. L. KOON, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Larceny.	25	1 fined $25; 6 acquitted; 1 State Prison one year; 1 House of Correction 1 year; 1 House of Correction six months; 1 State Prison 2 years; 4 House of Correction till 21 years old; 1 fined $15 and 20 days in jail; 1 30 days in jail; 1 fined $10; 1 Detroit House of Correction 1 year; 1 fined $25; 5 still pending.
Assault and battery,	17	Fine and county jail.
Selling liquor,	9	Fined $10.
Assault with intent to kill.	1	Detroit House of Correction 1 year.
Forgery,	2	Still pending.
Seduction,	3	Still pending.
Adultery.	3	Still pending.
Larceny,	1	Sentence suspended.
Bastardy.	2	Ordered to support child—$8 per month.
Larceny.	3	Discontinued.
Miscarriage.	1	Discontinued.
Perjury.	1	Discontinued.
Rape,	1	Still pending.
Seduction.	1	Still pending.
Incest,	1	Still pending.
Bastardy,	3	Still pending.
Burglary.	1	Still pending.

HOUGHTON COUNTY.

JAS. A. HUBBELL, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Murder,	1	Acquitted.
Assault with intent to kill,	3	1 convicted—1 year State Prison; 2 nol. pros.
Rape,	1	Now pending.
Burglary,	2	1 discharged; 1 acquitted.
Malicious mischief,	3	1 plead guilty—4 months county jail; 1 nol. pros.; 1 acquitted.
Larceny.	5	Held to bail—pending.
Larceny.	14	1 fined $35; 1 $25, and 60 days; 1 90 days; 1 25 days; 1 20 days; 1 fined $40; 1 $22 50; 1 $15; 4 $10; 1 $1; 1 discharged.
Adultery,	2	Discharged for want of prosecution.
Assault and battery,	112	3 $100 each; 2 $100 each, and 90 days; 1 $25; 5 $20 each; 4 $15 each; 1 $30, 21 $10 each; 1 $8 50; 1 $8; 1 $7; 34 $5 each; 6 $3 each; 8 $2 each; 10 $1 each; 5 appealed; 9 settled.
Disorderly persons,	4	2 committed for want of bond; 2 bailed—1 $100, 1 $200.
Bound over to keep the peace,	2	1 committed for want of bond; 1 bailed—$200 with sureties.

HURON COUNTY.

C. H. GALLUP, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Grand larceny,	5	1 acquitted; 1 escaped from jail; 3 escaped from officers, after committal.
Burglary,	1	Escaped from jail, after commitment.
Assault and battery,	3	1 fined $30 and costs; 1 fined $5 and costs, and 1 discharged.

INGHAM COUNTY.

R. C. DART, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Larceny,	23	12 acquitted; 4 convicted and fined, (two of whom, in default of payment, were committed to jail;) 1 State Prison; 1 sent to Reform School; 2 held to bail and escaped officer; 3 pending.
Assault and battery,	24	6 acquitted; 16 convicted and fined; 1 sentence suspended; 1 sentenced to imprisonment in county jail.
Rape,	1	Acquitted.
Adultery,	2	1 acquitted; 1 pending.
Seduction,	1	Acquitted.
Passing counterfeit money,	1	Acquitted.
Complaint for sureties for peace,	8	All required to give bonds.
Entering an orchard on Sunday, with intent to carry away fruit,	1	Convicted and fined $2.
Breaking jail,	2	1 sentenced to jail $30 days; 1 sentenced to jail 15 days.
Selling liquor,	3	2 fined $10 each; 1 acquitted.
Obtaining money by false pretences,	4	2 acquitted; 2 pending.
Malicious trespass,	1	Nol. pros.
Polygamy,	1	Pending.
Lewd and lascivious cohabitation.	4	Held to bail, and escaped officer.

IONIA COUNTY.

W. W. MITCHELL, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Murder,	1	Convicted and sentenced to the State Prison 3 years and 6 months.
Arson,	2	1 convicted—sentenced to the State Prison 3 years and 6 months, and one acquitted on trial.
Adultery,	1	Nol. pros. entered.
Assault with intent to commit rape,	1	Convicted and sentenced to State Prison 1 year and six months.
Larceny,	15	Convicted—1 county jail 4 months; 1, 15 years of age, Reform School until 21 years old; 1 county jail 30 days; 1 State Prison 2 years and 6 months; 1, 13 years of age, Reform School until 21 years old; 1 fined $100—fine paid; 1 sent to State Prison one year and 3 months; 1 State Prison 1 year and 6 months; 2 county jail 10 days; 1 Reform School until 21 years of age, being now 14; 1 fined $15—fine paid; 1 acquitted on trial; 1 discharged on examination, and 1 pending.
Seduction,	1	Discharged on examination.
Burglary,	2	Discharged on examination.
Passing counterfeit money,	1	Still pending.
Embezzlement,	2	1 discharged on examination; 1 pending;
Assault with intent to murder,	1	Discharged on examination.
Assault and battery,	35	Convicted—1 fined $14; 1 $15; 4 fined $9 each; 1 fined $8; 2 fined $6 each; 17 fined $5 each; 1 fined $30—all including costs, and all the fines paid; 1 county jail 60 days; 1 county jail 90 days; 4 settled; 1 acquitted.
Disorderly persons,	4	1 committed to county jail; 1 fined $5, and 2 entered into recognizance to keep the peace.
Abduction,	1	Nol. pros. entered.

ISABELLA COUNTY.

J. A. FANCHER, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RSSULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Petit larceny,	1	Not guilty.

JACKSON COUNTY.

DANIEL UPTON, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Larceny,	110	75 convicted; 27 fined $202; 6 to prison from 1 to 3 years; 42 committed to jail and workhouse.
Assault and battery,	89	53 convicted; 20 committed to jail; 33 fined $651.
Disorderly,	74	43 committed to jail and workhouse; 8 on bail.
Burglary,	7	Nol pros.
Riot,	7	Discharged.
Murder,	3	1 convicted and sent to prison 10 years; 1 discharged, and 1 acquitted.
Assault with intent to kill,	2	1 forfeited recognizance, and 1 acquitted.
Embezzlement,	1	Discharged.
Rape,	1	Convicted, and sent to prison 1 year.
Perjury,	2	1 died pending suit; 1 pending,
Polygamy,	1	Pending.
Concealing death of child,	1	Discharged.
Prison breaking,	2	1 nol. pros.; 1 acquitted.

KENT COUNTY.

E. G. D. HOLDEN, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Murder,	1	Pending.
Robbery,	3	1 State Prison 3½ years; 1 1½ years; and 1 nol. pros.
Horse stealing,	9	1 State Prison 3½ years; 1 do 1½; 1 do 2 years; 1 fined $200; 1 pending; 1 sentence suspended; 1 forfeited his bail, and 2 nol. pros.
Grand larceny,	18	1 State Prison 1½ years; 2 do 1 year each; 4 Reform School until 21 years of age; 1 forfeited his bail; 2 jail 3 months each; 1 fined $100; 3 discharged; 3 pending.
Common prostitutes or disorderly persons,	3	In default of $200 bonds for good behavior, committed to jail for 1 year each.
Personating an officer,	2	Complaint withdrawn.
Stealing from the person,	1	Fined $300.
Injury to school property,	8	Discharged on payment of $40, costs.
Cruelty to animals,	1	Nol. pros.
Resisting an officer,	2	1 fined $50; 1 discharged on examination.
Assault with intent to rape,	1	Nol. pros.
Assault with intent to kill,	2	1 fined $100 and 3 months in jail, and 1 discharged.
Violation of liquor law,	3	2 fined $10 each; 1 do $20.
Lewd and lascivious cohabitation,	2	Forfeited bail in both cases.
Obtaining goods by false pretenses,	3	1 State Prison 1 year; 1 discharged, and one complaint withdrawn.
Bonds for peace,	6	4 bonds $100 for 1 year; 1 do $200 for 1 year; 1 do $100 for 6 months.
Forgery,	2	1 State Prison 1½ years; 1 discharged.
Perjury,	3	1 enlisted; 1 nol. pros., and 1 pending.
Passing counterfeit money,	2	Complaint withdrawn.
Breaking open public pound,	1	Jail 10 days.
Shop lifting,	1	Sent to Reform School until 21 years of age.
Concealing stolen goods,	2	1 discharged on trial; 1 nol. pros.
Petit larceny,	22	1 fined $25; 1 do $15; 3 do $5 each; 2 jail 20 days each; 5 do 5 days each; 2 jury disagree; 2 nol. pros.; 3 discharged, and 3 complaints withdrawn.
Assault and battery,	49	2 fined $10 each; 5 do $5 each; 3 do $3 each; 1 do $2; 12 do $1 each; 2 jail 15 days each; 2 do 10 days each; 6 fined 6 cts. each; 1 jury disagree; 2 settled; 2 complaint withdrawn, and 2 discharged.
Proceedings at common law to abate a nuisance,	1	Pending.

KEWEENAW COUNTY.

ROBERT F. GULICK, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	14	2 fined $10 each and costs; 1 fined $30; 2 fined $15; 4 settled; 4 fined $20 each; 1 county jail 60 days.
Larceny,	8	2 State Prison 2 years each; 4 discharged on examination; 1 nolle pros.
Sureties of the peace,	3	Sureties given.
Larceny by boy under 16,	1	House of Correction until 21 years old.

LAPEER COUNTY.

S. B. GASKILL, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Larceny,	10	2 pending; 1 fined $15; 1 fined $5; 6 acquitted.
Embezzlement,	2	1 held to bail; 1 acquitted.
Seduction,	2	1 held to bail; 1 acquitted.
Assault and battery,	16	1 fined $8; 1 fined $5; 1 fined $50, paid; 2 fined $25 and costs, paid; 1 fined $40; 1 fined $15; 8 acquitted; 1 settled.
Burglary,	3	1 sent to House of Correction 4 years; 1 held to bail; 1 acquitted.
Robbery,	3	Held to bail—pending.
Arson,	1	Acquitted.
Bound over to keep the peace,	2	
Assault with intent to kill,	2	1 acquitted; 1 penitentiary.

LENAWEE COUNTY.

CLEMENT E. WEAVER, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	16	1 fined $20 and costs; 4 fined $10 and costs; 1 fined $40 and costs; 1 fined $45 and costs; 1 fined $25 and costs, appealed and complainant died; 2 fined $5 and costs; 1 fined $20; 4 acquitted; 1 fined costs.
Adultery,	3	1 convicted and fined $150; 2 pending—1 in jail, 1 on bail.
Arson,	1	Pending—on bail.
Burglary,	3	Convicted—sentenced, 2 State Prison 5 years each; 1 State Prison 12 years.
Bastardy,	4	1 convicted—gave bonds for support of child; 1 pending—on bail; 2 settled by marriage.
Bigamy,	2	Plead guilty—sentenced, 1 Detroit House of Correction 2 years; 1 Detroit House of Correction 3 months.
Embezzlement,	1	Acquitted on trial.
False pretonces,	3	Pending—1 in jail; 1 on bail; 1 defaulted and at large.
Forgery,	1	Pending—in jail.
Felonious assault,	2	1 acquitted on trial; 1 nolle pros.
Indecent exposure of the person,	1	Plead guilty—sentenced to county jail 1 month.
Lowd and lascivious cohabitation,	3	1 convicted, sentenced to Detroit House of Correction 3 months; 2 pending—in jail.
Larceny—compound,	8	2 convicted, sentenced to Det. House of Correction 1 year each; 1 plead guilty to simple larceny and sentonce suspended; 2 acquitted on trial; 1 nolle pros.; 2 pending—1 on bail, 1 defaulted and at largo.
Simple larceny,	26	19 convicted—10 tried and 9 plead guilty—sentenced, 1 State Prison 1 year; 1 State Prison 9 months; 5 Detroit House of Correction 1 year each; 5 Det. House of Correction 90 days each 4 Reform School; 1 fined $29; 2 sentence suspended; 3 acquitted on trial; 2 nolle pros.; 1 pending—on bail—tried once, jury disagreed; 1 given up on requisition from Gov. of New York.
Murder,	2	1 convicted of murder, 1st degree, sentenced to State Prison for life; 1 convicted of manslaughter, sentonced to State Prison 5 years.
Malicious injury to dwelling house,	2	Pending—on bail.
Perjury,	1	Nolle pros.
Passing counterfeit money,	1	Plead guilty—sentenced to State Prison 1 year.
Robbery,	4	2 convicted of stealing from person, sentenced to State Prison 3 years each; 1 nolle pros.; 1 pending—in jail.

LIVINGSTON COUNTY.

S. F. HUBBELL, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	6	1 fined $50; 1 fined costs and $2; 1 fined costs and $1 50; 1 discharged; 1 find $25; 1 fined $7 50 and costs.
Assault with intent to commit rape.	1	Discharged.
Assault with intent to murder,	1	Convicted of an assault and battery, fined $30.
Receiving stolen property,	1	Not tried.
Larceny,	6	1 discharged; 1 fined $20; 1 discharged; 1 fined $30 or 30 days in jail; 1 jury disagreed; 1 discharged.

MACOMB COUNTY.

JAMES B. ELDRIDGE, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	18	12 convicted; 3 fined $10 each; 2 fined $30 each; 1 fined—appealed; 1 fined $12; 1 fined $4; 1 fined $5; 1 fined $1 and costs; 3 acquitted, and 3 discharged.
Arson,	2	1 State Prison 15 years; 1 State Prison 10 years.
Burglary and Larceny,	3	1 discharged; 2 pending.
Larceny,	18	1 House of Correction 6 months; 1 do 90 days; 1 do 60 days; 3 reasons for not filing information approved; 1 sentence suspended; 5 pending.
Aiding prisoners to escape,	2	Pending.
Injury to beasts,	2	Fined $5 each.
Keeping house of ill fame,	1	Reason for not filing information approved.
Adultery,	1	" " " "
Malicious opening of gates, &c.,	1	Acquitted.
Perjury,	1	"
Receiving stolen property,	1	"
Forgery,	1	Examiantion still pending.
Uttering forged order for payment of money,	1	State Prison 5 years.
Obstructing railroad,	1	" 10 "
Bastardy,	4	1 pending; 3 nol. pros.
False pretenses,	1	Pending.

MANISTEE COUNTY.

T. J. RAMSDELL, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault with intent to kill,	1	Fined $75.
House breaking,	2	Nol. pros.
Common sellers of intoxica'ng liquors,	10	8 fined $20 each; 1 fined $40, and 1 fined $100.
Assault and battery,	8	2 fined $15 each; 1 fined $5.

MONTCALM COUNTY.

SETH SPRAGUE, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	2	1 discontinued; 1 fined $1 and costs of suit, $2 84.
Libel,	1	Held to bail.

MARQUETTE COUNTY.

HENRY D. SMITH, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Burglary,	2	1 not guilty; 1 discharged on examination.
Assault and battery,	9	2 fined each $25 and costs; 1 fined $60 and costs; 2 fined each $15 and costs; 1 fined $10; 1 fined costs; 2 discharged.
Embezzlement,	1	Not guilty.
Murder,	1	Guilty of manslaughter, new tiral granted with change of venue to Houghton Co.
Violation of liquor law,	5	Fined each $10 and costs.
Peddling without State license,	2	Fined each $25 and costs.
Assault with intent to commit rape.	2	1 discharged on examination, 1 guilty of assault and battery.
Larceny,	2	1 State Prison 2 years; 1 discharged.
Officer accepting bribe,	1	Reasons filed for not filing information.
Sureties of the peace	2	Recognized for 1 year each.
Disorderly persons,	1	Recognized for 1 year.
Malicious injury to dwelling,	1	Discharged on examination.

MASON COUNTY.

E. E. BENEDICT, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Grand larceny,	4	2 discharged on examination; 2 broke jail and escaped.
House breaking in day time with intent to steal, and grand larceny,	3	Broke jail and escaped after commitment for trial.

MECOSTA COUNTY.

ELIAS O. ROSE, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Adultery,	2	Examined and held to bail, both escaped from the officer—still at large.
Assault and battery,	2	1 fined $2 and costs—sentence suspended as to the other.
Grand larceny,	1	Examined and held to bail—not tried yet.
Perjury,	1	" " " " "
Embezzlement,	1	Not examined yet.
Petit larceny,	1	Nol. pros.

MIDLAND COUNTY.

C. B. WRIGHT, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Rape,	1	Discharged.
Keeping a gaming house,	1	Fined $50 and costs.
Assault and battery,	10	9 fined $10 each and costs, and 1 fined $20.

MUSKEGON COUNTY.

EDWIN POTTER, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Larceny,	13	8 not found; 2 fined; 3 discharged.
Assault and battery,	7	4 settled; 1 imprisoned ten days; 2 fined.
Seduction,	2	1 pending; 1 discharged.
Illegal voting,	1	Discharged.
Obtaining money by false pretences,	1	Discharged.
Search warrants,	2	1 property found; 1 no property found.
Burglary,	1	Sentence suspended.
Bound over to keep the peace.	5	3 bound over; 2 discharged.
Assault with intent to commit a rape,	1	Not found.
Assault with intent to kill,	1	Not found.
Malicious injury to mill dam,	1	Pending.
Resisting an officer,	1	Discharged.
Disorderly conduct,	20	15 fined; 5 discharged.

NEWAYGO COUNTY.

JAMES BARTON, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Bastardy,	1	Pending—on bail.
Larceny from dwelling house in night time;	1	" "
Violation of liquor law,	5	3 convicted and fined $10 each; 1 fined $20, and 1 discharged.
Resisting an officer in discharge of his duty.	1	Pending—on bail.

OAKLAND COUNTY.

M. E. Crofoot, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	32	4 fined $20 each; 1 fined $50; 5 fined $5 each; 1 fined $13; 1fined $25; 1 fined $10; 1 fined $15; 1 fined $8; 1 fined $3; 1 fined $1; 2 sentence suspended; 8 dicharged; 1 sent to work house 3 months, 4 continued.
Petit larceny,	12	2 sent to work-house 90 days each; 1 fined $35; 4 discharged; 1 broke jail; 1 plead guilty and broke jail; 1 sentence suspended; 1 fined $2; 1 fined $15.
Grand larceny,	14	8 held to bail; 6 discharged; 1 default entered; 1 fined $100; 1 sentenced to State Prison 1 year; 2 continued.
Seduction,	1	Discontinued.
Bastardy,	1	Discontinued.
Conspiracy,	8	2 conspiracy to defraud, and 3 to commit burglary, all held to bail; 3 default entered.
Adultery,	6	3 held to bail; 1 discontinued; 1 sentenced to State Prison 1 year; 1 continued.
Incest,	1	Held to bail.
Keeping billiard table.	2	Held to bail.
Disorderly persons,	3	Held to bail for good behavior.
Assault with intent to commit rape,	3	1 sent to State Prison 1 year; 1 held to bail and broke jail; 1 held to bail.
Burglary,	3	1 plead guilty and broke jail; 2 held to bail.
Rape,	7	3 held to bail; 3 jury failed to agree and case continued; 1 discharged.
Malicious mischief,	9	3 settled and case discontinued; 6 discharged.
Perjury,	2	1 held to bail; 1 discharged.
Riot.	8	Held to bail.

OCEANA COUNTY.

Lyman D. Grove, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	5	1 fined $10 and costs; 1 fined $15 and costs; 1 $2 and costs; 1 $4 and costs; 1 $5 and costs.
Grand larceny,	1	Discharged upon examination.
Violation of liquor law,	2	Each fined $10 and costs of suit.
Assuming to be an officer,	1	Held to bail—reasons filed for not filing information,—no information filed.
Bastardy,	1	Escaped from officer.

OTTAWA COUNTY.

R. W. DUNCAN, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	12	3 discharged by Justice on payment of costs, and acknowledgment of satisfaction by injured party; 3 fined $20 each and costs; 2 fined $5 each and costs; 2 fined $4 each and costs; 2 acquitted on trial.
Assault with intent to murder,	2	1 convicted of assault and battery, and sentence suspended; 1 discharged on examination.
Arson,	1	Acquitted on ground of insanity, and ordered to jail till further order of Court.
Buying, receiving and concealing stolen property,	2	Reasons filed for not filing information, and defendants discharged.
Disorderly persons,	1	Found to be insane and discharged.
Embezzlement,	1	Discharged by Justice.
Forfeiture,	4	1 fined $100 and costs; 3 no cause of action.
Grand larceny,	7	4 discharged on examination; 1 noi. pros.; 2 sentenced to State Prison 2 years each.
Petit larceny,	2	1 found guilty and sentenced to Reform School; 1 acquitted.
Lewd and lascivious cohabitation,	2	Pending.
Malicious injury to dwelling house,	3	All discharged on examination.
Malicious killing of a beast,	1	Pending.
Obtaining property under false pretenses,	2	1 nol. pros.; 1 discharged on examination.
Perjury,	1	Discharged on examination.
Proceedings to prevent commission of crime,	1	Recognizance entered into, and costs paid by defendant.
Resisting officer,	3	1 died; 2 discharged in Circuit Court for insufficiency of complaint made before the Justice.
Recognizance forfeited,	1	Judgment for $200, execution issued, and property now under levy.
Seduction,	2	Both discharged on examination.
Trespass on public land,	1	Settled with State Agent, and suit discontinued by his direction.

SAGINAW COUNTY.

C. H. GAGE, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	6	3 nol. pros. entered; 2 fined $25 each; 1 recognizance forfeited.
Accesory to larceny,	1	Pending.
Attempt to bribe,	1	Pending.
Cutting boom,	1	Fined $50 and costs.
Embezzlement,	2	1 fined $500 and 10 days in county jail, in default of fine 2 years in State Prison—fine paid; 1 pending.
Larceny.	8	1 found not guilty; 2 1 year State Prison each; 1 1 year House of Correction; 1 fined $35; 1 jury disagreed; 1 pending; 1 nol. pros. entered.
Murder,	1	Found not guilty.
Perjury,	2	1 nol. pros. entered; 1 death of defendant suggested.
Riot,	1	Pending.
Burglary,	1	Escaped from jail and still at large.

SANILAC COUNTY.

JOHN DIVINE, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	9	7 convicted, and of these 1 fined $5; 2 fined $25 each; 1 fined $15; 1 fined $10; 1 find $3; 1 fined $6; 2 acquitted upon trial.
Larceny,	7	3 convicted, and of these 2 sentenced to county jail 60 days each; 1 fined $10; 3 acquitted upon trial, and 1 settled upon payment of costs.
Maliciously injuring saloon,	2	Convicted and fined costs.
Grand larceny,	7	1 convicted and sentenced to State Prison 3 yrs; 2 discharged upon trial; 2 discharged upon examination; 2 pending.
Manslaughter,	1	Convicted and sentenced to State Prison 1 year.
Murder,	1	Pending.

SHIAWASSEE COUNTY.

JAMES M. GOODELL, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT
Adultery,	2	1 pending; 1 discharged.
Assault and battery,	15	1 fined $50; 1 fined $25; 2 fined $15 each; 3 fined $10 each; 1 fined $3; 1 sent to jail 20 days; 3 acquitted; 2 settled; 1 escaped.
Breaking dwelling house in day time,	1	Pending.
Burglary,	2	Discharged.
Larceny,	15	5 sent to Reform School; 3 settled; 2 sent to State Prison 2 years each; 2 pending; 2 escaped; 1 discharged.
Malicious injury to dwelling house,	1	Pending.
Malicious injury to personal property,	4	1 pending; 1 discontinued; 1 settled; 1 acquitted.
Perjury,	1	Discharged.
Passing counterfeit money,	2	Pending.
Sureties to keep the peace,	3	1 recognized; 1 discharged; 1 escaped.

ST. CLAIR COUNTY.

O'BRIEN J. ATKINSON, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	31	12 fined $10 each; 4 fined $15 each and costs; 3 fined $1 and costs; 1 fined $50; 5 fined 6 cents each and costs; 6 acquitted.
Arson,	1	Discharged.
Burglary,	1	Escaped.
Conspiracy,	3	1 discharged; 2 pending.
Counterfeiting,	2	Pending.
Embezzlement,	1	Pending.
Forgery,	1	Pending.
Indecent exposure,	1	Discharged.
Keeping house of ill fame,	2	1 discharged; 1 pending.
Larceny,	16	5 discharged; 3 acquitted; 5 pending; 2 guilty; 1 $5 fine; 1 6 mon's State Prison; 1 transf'd.
Obtaining goods under false pretenses,	1	Acquitted.
Penalties,	4	1 acquitted; 3 pending.
Malicious mischief,	5	4 pending; 1 discharged.
Murder,	5	1 transfered; 4 discharged on examination.
Robbery,	9	1 acquitted; 8 pending.
Sureties of the peace.	4	All gave sureties.

ST. JOSEPH COUNTY.

GERMAIN H. MASON, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	10	2 find $20; 1 fined $10 and costs; 1 fined $12; 1 fined $25 and 42 days in jail; 2 acquitted; 3 settled.
Assault,	1	Fined $3 20.
Bastardy,	1	Compromised.
Breach of the peace,	3	Bound over.
Forgery,	1	Pending.
Grand Larceny,	2	"
Murder,	1	"
Embezzlement,	1	Noi. pros.
Profanity,	1	Fined $5 70.
Perjury,	1	Discharged.
Selling intoxicating liquors,	6	2 fined $10 each; 2 $20; 1 pending; 1 discontinued.

TUSCOLA COUNTY.

MARK D. SEELEY, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	4	1 settled; 1 fined costs of prosecution; 2 fined $6 each and costs.
Assault with intent to kill,	3	All discharged on examination.
Adultery,	1	" " "
Larceny,	5	2 settled; 1 not arrested, not being found; 1 escaped after arrest; 1 pending on information.
Rape,	1	Not arrested, not being found.
Resisting an officer in the execution of legal process,	2	Pending on information.
Threatening to commit crimes,	1	Not yet arrested.
Willfully & maliciously mar'g buil'g,	2	1 fined $2 and costs; 1 not arrested.

VAN BUREN COUNTY.

HIRAM COLE, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault and battery,	14	13 convicted and fined; 1 settled.
Grand larceny,	5	3 broke jail before trial; 2 discharged.
Petit larceny,	5	Convicted and fined.
Abandonment of child under 6 years,	1	Convicted and sent to State Prison 10 years.
Violation of liquor law,	1	Convicted and fined.
Arson,	1	Dismissed on examination.
To keep the peace.	2	Convicted and under bonds 1 year.
Robbery,	1	Dismissed on examination.

WASHTENAW COUNTY.

AMOS C. BLODGET, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Secreting birth and death of bastard,	1	Female—plead guilty, 60 days in jail.
Assault and battery with intent to murder,	4	1 pending; 3 convicted of assault and battery; and 1 fined $75 and 2 $50.
Larceny from tannery in night time,	1	Convicted—3 years in State Prison.
Larceny,	3	2 nol. pros.—on account of enlistment of prisoners.
Compound larceny, (pickpockets,)	2	Convicted—1 State Prison 3 years; the other State Prison 1 year.
Malicious injury to building,	2	Discharged on examination.
Burglary,	4	2 plead guilty—1 State Prison 1 year; 1 State Prison 1 year; 1, a female, State Prison 3 yrs.; 1 escaped from jail.
Rape,	1	Tried at October term, jury disagreed—under $5,000 bonds to await another trial.
Larceny from dwelling in night time,	2	Discharged by order of Prosecuting Attorney on examination.
Obtaining goods by false pretenses,	1	Pending,
Polygamy,	1	Plead guilty—not yet sentenced.

WAYNE COUNTY.

J. PATCHEN, *Pros. Att'y.*

CHARGED WITH.	No.	THE RESULT AND THE PUNISHMENT.
Assault with intent to murder,	14	Sentence was suspended on the following, for cause: Destroying flowers, &c., 1; keeping house of ill fame, 1; larceny, 4.
Murder,	5	
Assault and battery,	3	
Assault with intent to rob,	1	
Attempt to commit abortion,	2	
Arson,	1	Jury disagreed in the following cases: Assault with intent to commit rape, 1; larceny 2.
Larceny,	96	
Conspiracy,	5	
False imprisonment,	2	
Forgery,	3	Nolle pros. entered in the following cases: Burglary, 1; false imprisonment, 2; keeping house of ill fame, 1; larceny, 3; receiving stolen property &c., 1.
False impersonation,	1	
Fraud,	2	
Keeping house of ill fame,	11	
Lewd and lascivious cohabitation,	2	
Maiming,	1	
Nuisance,	3	Whole number of persons sentenced by the Circuit and Recorder's Courts for offences against the laws of the State, is 42, as follows: State Prison, 27; House of Correction, 13; Reform School, 2.
Obtaining goods under false pretenses,	2	
Rec'ng stolen property, knowing, &c.,	7	
Robbery,	3	
Destroying flowers in cemetery,	1	

Number of Cases Examined and Tried before the Police Court in the City of Detroit, from January 1st, 1865, to January 1st, 1866.

CHARGED WITH.	No.	CHARGED WITH.	No.
Assault and battery,	737	Malicious trespass,	91
Assault,	84	Perjury,	7
Simple larceny,	202	Embezzlement,	10
Compound larceny,	76	Bringing whiskey into jail.	3
Common drunkard,	163	Maliciously killing a beast,	1
Vagrants,	85	Cruelty to animals,	1
Disorderly persons,	116	Murder,	2
Lewd and lascivious cohabitation,	14	Keeping a gambling table,	1
Assault with intent to kill and murder,	41	Resisting an officer,	5
Larceny from the person,	16	Contempt of court,	6
Robbery,	29	Forgery,	5
Keeping house of ill fame,	25	Receiving stolen property,	13
Passing counterfeit draft,	1	Using profane language,	1
False imprisonment,	4	Burglary and larceny, and receiving stolen property,	20
Falsely assuming to hold office,	3		
Mayhem,	2	False personation,	1
Burglary and larceny,	91	Assault with intent to commit rape,	2
Conspiracy,	7	Attempt at compound larceny,	2
Adultery,	11	Assault with intent to rob,	8
Obtaining goods under false pretenses, false tokens and pretenses,	17	Forcible entry in day time and larc'y,	1
		Forcible entry in the day time,	1
Rape,	6	Manslaughter,	2
Threats,	24	Compounding a felony,	1
Attachment as witness,	6	Malicious injury to a dwelling house,	8
Abduction,	1		